1	PSEUDO-RANDOM NUMBER GENERATOR
2	
3	
4	BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION
5	
6	1. Field of the Invention
7	
8	The present invention relates generally to a method of and apparatus for generating
9	pseudo-random numbers.
10	
11	2. Description of the Prior Art
12	
13	Pseudo-random numbers are used for a variety of purposes including simulation studies,
14	information processing, communication, and encryption. Pseudo-random number
15	generators create sequences of values that appear to have been generated by random
16	processes even though the sequences are not truly random. The results of a pseudo-
17	random number process should be adequately distributed across the desired range of
18	possible numbers so as to mimic the results that might have come from a truly random
19	process. Pseudo-random results should not exhibit discernable patterns or other
20	observable relationships between the observable output values that would make
21	prediction or other analysis of the observable output sequence possible.
22	
23	The search for pseudo-random number generators that satisfy the above conditions has
24	yielded a number of interesting and useful processes. The linear feedback shift register
25	(LFSR) process is easy to implement and has been widely used but has an inherent
26	weakness due to the strict linearity of its processes. Another widely used generator is the
27	classical linear congruential generator (LCG), represented as $x_n = (ax_{n-1} + b) \mod m$,
28	where x is the output series, x_0 is the seed value, and a , b , and m are constants. For
29	example, the LCG process is the framework used by DeVane in the high-speed pseudo-
30	random number generator of U.S. Patent #5,187,676, by Finkelstein in the encryption
31	protection in a communication system of U.S. Patent #6,014,446, by Tiedemann et al. in

- the system for testing a digital communication channel of U.S. Patent #5,802,105, by
- 2 Ridenour in the high precision pseudo-random number generator of U.S. Patent
- 3 #5,541,996, and by Shimada in the pseudo-random number generator of U.S. Patent
- 4 #6,097,815. LCG-based systems can generate well mixed numbers and will pass certain
- statistical tests, although the sequence generated by an LCG typically can be inferred
- 6 even if the constant parameters a, b, m and the seed x_0 are all unknown.

7

- 8 The multiple recursive generator (MRG) is similar to an LCG but extends the range of
- 9 the recursion from the immediately preceding output value to more distantly produced
- ones. The MRG process can be represented as $x_n = (a_1x_{n-1} + ... + a_kx_{n-k}) \mod m$, where a_1
- 11 ... a_k and m are constants. Lagged Fibonacci generators and some combined generators
- are essentially MRGs. The LCG process also has been extended to additional
- dimensions to create a matrix method (MM) process represented as $X_n = (AX_{n-1}) \mod m$
- where X is a vector of output values and A is a constant transition matrix. Niederreiter
- introduced the multiple-recursive matrix method (MRMM) as a framework for
- encompassing essentially all of the linear methods described above as well as several
- others such as the Generalized Feedback Shift Register (GFSR) and the "twisted" GFSR.
- 18 A good example of the twisted GFSR is the recently developed Mersenne Twister
- described by Matsumoto and Nishimura. The general form of the MRMM process is X_n
- $= (A_1X_{n-1} + ... + A_kX_{n-k}) \bmod m, \text{ where } A_1 ... A_k \text{ and } m \text{ are constants.}$

- 22 In these conventional systems, the modulus operator is typically chosen to be a fixed
- 23 number, which may be determined by the hardware constraints of the computer systems
- 24 to be used. Often, the word length is a critical factor; for instance, 2³² is typically chosen
- as the modulus value for 32-bit computer systems. Using a fixed modulus simplifies the
- determination of the output range of pseudo-random number generator. A fixed modulus
- of 2^{32} , for example, creates a range of actual output values from 0 to $2^{32} 1$. Others, such
- as Shimada, have suggested varying the modulus operator by using a set of prime
- 29 numbers (see U.S. Patent #6,097,815). Shimada uses a three-part expanded affine
- 30 transformation to inflate the intermediate results of the variable modulus operation to the

magnitude of the desired range, although only a portion of each resulting value is kept 2 because the unaltered series is linear and therefore predictable in nature. 3 4 Many of the existing pseudo-random number generators are computationally efficient and 5 generate well-distributed results. However, the recursive nature of the processes create 6 output results that exhibit strong linear correlation; this structure tends to make those 7 results exhibit characteristics which can be exploited to create predictions of future output 8 values. Predictability of the series may not be a problem for some applications, but still 9 indicates that the series is not as "random" for general applications as might be desired. 10 11 The invention described herein presents a general-purpose pseudo-random number 12 generator that offers output sequences with very long periods and very low predictability. 13 14 15 SUMMARY AND OBJECTS OF THE INVENTION 16 17 A primary object of the present invention is to provide a method and process for 18 generating pseudo-random numbers with very long period output sequences, well-19 distributed actual output values, and very low predictability for general-purpose use. 20 21 Another object of the present invention is to introduce variable recursive matrix 22 operations into the pseudo-random number generator process where the transition 23 matrices are changed from one iteration of the generator to the next. The variations in the 24 transition matrices are determined by secondary pseudo-random number generators or 25 other processes where the secondary pseudo-random number generators or other 26 processes exhibit long cycles. 27 28 Another object of the present invention is to introduce variable recursive matrix 29 operations into the pseudo-random number generator process where the offset matrices 30 are changed from one iteration of the generator to the next. The variations in the offset 31 matrices are determined by secondary pseudo-random number generators or other

1 processes where the secondary pseudo-random number generators or other processes 2 exhibit long cycles. 3 4 Another object of the present invention is to introduce variable recursive matrix 5 operations into the pseudo-random number generator process where the modulus 6 operators are changed from one iteration of the generator to the next. The variations in 7 the modulus operators are determined by secondary pseudo-random number generators or 8 other processes where the secondary pseudo-random number generators or other 9 processes exhibit long cycles. 10 11 Another object of the present invention is to introduce a process for the use of multiple 12 modulus operators where the results are equally distributed across the range of actual 13 output values associated with the final modulus operator. 14 15 Another object of the present invention is to introduce the development of processes such 16 that the output matrix can be created in such a way as to be non-invertible, that is, having 17 no calculable inverse. The variable recursive matrix operations can be used to create 18 output sets that cannot be inverted making it impossible to determine constituent 19 components of the matrix operations simply from analysis of the observable output 20 results. 21 22 These objects are achieved by introducing a new type of pseudo-random number 23 generators that significantly extend the current state of the art. The multiple-recursive 24 matrix method (MRMM) framework that encompassed essentially all prior linear 25 methods is extended by this invention through the introduction of variable parameters. 26 The class of pseudo-random generators of the invention can be denoted as multiple 27 variable recursive matrix (MVRM) generators. As described in the following sections, 28 the new class of MVRM pseudo-random number generators of this invention is well 29 suited to general-purpose applications. 30

- 1 The need exists for pseudo-random number generators that offer results with more
- 2 random-like characteristics. The precise definition of "more random-like" is difficult to
- 3 specify, at best. This is especially true for pseudo-random number generators that are
- 4 purely deterministic, that is, those which can replicate the same output results exactly
- 5 given the same state of characteristics and input values for the generating process.
- 6 Essentially all of the widely used linear methods described above are deterministic
- 7 processes. The level of predictability is a reasonable indicator of the "randomness" of the
- 8 pseudo-random number generator. While the methods and processes of the invention
- 9 claimed herein are deterministic, the results are generally less predictable and more
- "random" than those of other types of pseudo-random number generators.

11

- 12 Computational efficiency has often been a key determinant in the design of pseudo-
- 13 random number generators. However, computational power has increased dramatically
- over the past years, making possible the introduction of pseudo-random number
- 15 generators that exchange reduced computational efficiency for increased "randomness".
- 16 The pseudo-random number generators of the claimed invention offer just such a
- compromise. Even so, depending on the specific implementation of the processes of the
- invention, the decrease in computational efficiency may be relatively slight while the
- 19 gain in "randomness" may be substantial.

- 21 Pseudo-random number generators of the multiple-recursive matrix method (MRMM)
- 22 take the general form of $X_n = (A_1 X_{n-1} + ... + A_k X_{n-k})$ mod m, where $A_1 ... A_k$ and m are
- constants and X_{n-1} ... X_{n-k} are the previous results of the process. The multiple variable
- 24 recursive matrix (MVRM) pseudo-random number generators of the claimed invention
- 25 take the general form of $X_n = ((A_{1,n}X_{n-1} + ... + A_{k,n}X_{n-k} + B_{1,n} + ... + B_{j,n}) \mod m_{1,n})$...
- $mod m_{i,n}$, where:
- 27 $A_{1,n} \dots A_{k,n}, B_{1,n} \dots B_{j,n}$, and $m_{1,n} \dots m_{i,n}$ are variable transition, offset and modulus
- 28 parameters for the nth candidate output element of the matrix X,
- the transition matrices $A_{1,n}$... $A_{k,n}$ are created by secondary pseudo-random
- 30 number generators or other processes,

1 the offset matrices B_{1,n} ... B_{i,n} are created by secondary pseudo-random number 2 generators or other processes, and 3 the modulus operators $m_{1,n} \dots m_{i,n}$ are created by secondary pseudo-random 4 number generators or other processes. 5 The form of the processes is unchanged if the transition matrices $A_{1,n} \dots A_{k,n}$ are 6 7 postmultiplied in the equation above instead of premultiplied as in the form shown. 8 9 In the MVRM process of the invention, the matrix of candidate output values X_n can be a 10 matrix of any number of dimensions and sizes including columnar or row vector form. 11 The matrix will have a number of elements determined by the number of rows times the 12 number of columns. The specific entries from the total elements contained in the matrix 13 X_n to be used as the pseudo-random number generator candidate output values could be 14 single elements from specific locations of the matrix or all the values of the entire matrix. 15 The dimensions of the candidate output matrix will determine the dimensions of the 16 transition matrices and of the offset matrices. The transition matrices will be square 17 matrices with row and column dimensions equal to the number of rows in the candidate 18 output matrix. The offset matrices will have the same dimensions as the candidate output 19 matrix. 20 21 The candidate output matrix X_n also can be created in such a way as to be non-invertible, 22 that is, having no calculable inverse. This is a significant and distinguishing difference 23 from the classic LCG pseudo-random number generators because the additive and 24 multiplicative components of the LCG methods are always invertible, meaning that the 25 LCG's observed output results are always invertible. Matrix and other similar data 26 arrangements can be used to create output sets that cannot be inverted, making it 27 impossible to determine constituent components of the matrix operations simply from 28 analysis of the observed output results. 29 30 The multiple variable recursive matrix (MVRM) pseudo-random number generator 31 process of the claimed invention has the form of $X_n = ((A_{1,n}X_{n-1} + ... + A_{k,n}X_{n-k} + B_{1,n} + ...$

 $+ B_{j,n}$) mod $m_{1,n}$) ... mod $m_{i,n}$, that is, the n^{th} value of the candidate output matrix is 1 created by summing the multiple of the n-1th value of the candidate output matrix by the 2 nth value of the transition matrix A₁ (either premultiplied or postmultiplied) with all 3 subsequent multiples through the multiple of the n-kth value of the candidate output 4 matrix by the nth value of the transition matrix A_k and the 1st through the jth values of the 5 offset matrices B_n. The 1st through the ith modulus operators are sequentially applied to 6 the resulting summation to yield the final nth value of the candidate output matrix. The 7 8 actual output pseudo-random numbers for that iteration of the generator are then taken 9 from the candidate output matrix. In order to assure the uniformity of the distribution of 10 the actual output values, certain results of the modulus operations may not be available 11 for use as the pseudo-random number generator result; those intermediate results may or 12 may not still be held in the historical sequence of candidate output matrix values X_n for 13 the calculation of subsequent candidate output matrix values. 14 15 The variable transition matrices $A_{1,n} \dots A_{k,n}$ are determined by secondary pseudo-random 16 number generators or other processes. For instance, a simple list of 100 possible values 17 for A₁ could be compiled and the variation in the sequence of A_{1,n} as n goes from 1 to 18 100 would consist of selecting the next entry from the list. As the list is exhausted, the 19 selection would return to the beginning of the list. Similar lists could be used for A₂ 20 through A_k with each variation being chosen from the sequences in the lists. 21 Advantageously, the number of items in the lists could be chosen to be relatively prime, 22 that is, no count of items in any of the lists would share a common factor with another. 23 The length of the composite sequence created by this combined sequence of lists would 24 have a cycle length equal to the product of the number of items in each list. Thus, with k 25 set equal to 4 and list lengths of 100, 101, 103 and 107, the length of the cycle of 26 combinations would equal 111,312,100 before the pattern of combinations would begin 27 to repeat. Instead of lists, each A_{1,n} ... A_{k,n} could be determined by a secondary pseudo-28 random number generator with the cycle length of each pseudo-random number generator 29 distinct from the others. The secondary pseudo-random number generators could be of 30 virtually any form including the classical LCG or any of the variations mentioned above.

With distinct, relatively prime cycle lengths, the length of the composite sequence created

1 by the combined sequence of secondary pseudo-random number generators would have a 2 cycle length equal to the product of the separate cycle lengths. For example, with k set equal to 4 and secondary pseudo-random number generator cycle lengths of 715,999,981, 3 4 714,673,789, 700,943,927 and 687,956,333, the length of the cycle of combinations would equal 2.47 x 10³⁵ before the pattern of combinations would begin to repeat. 5 6 7 The variable offset matrices $B_{l,n}$... $B_{l,n}$ are determined by secondary pseudo-random 8 number generators or other processes. For instance, a simple list of 113 possible values 9 for B_1 could be compiled and the variation in the sequence of $B_{1,n}$ as n goes from 1 to 113 10 would consist of selecting the next entry from the list. As the list is exhausted, the 11 selection would return to the beginning of the list. Similar lists could be used for B₂ 12 through B_i with each variation being chosen from the sequences in the lists. The number 13 of items in the lists are ideally chosen to be relatively prime, that is, no count of items in 14 any of the lists would share a common factor with another. The length of the composite 15 sequence created by this combined sequence of lists would have a cycle length equal to 16 the product of the number of items in each list. Thus, with j set equal to 4 and list lengths 17 of 113, 109, 99 and 97, the length of the cycle of combinations would equal 118,280,151 18 before the pattern of combinations would begin to repeat. Instead of lists, each B_{1,n} ... B_{i,n} could be determined by a secondary pseudo-random number generator, ideally with the 19 20 cycle length of each pseudo-random number generator distinct from the others including 21 those of the transition matrices A. The secondary pseudo-random number generators 22 could be of virtually any form including the classical LCG or any of the variations 23 mentioned above. With distinct, relatively prime cycle lengths, the length of the 24 composite sequence created by the combined sequence of secondary pseudo-random number generators would have a cycle length equal to the product of the separate cycle 25 26 lengths. For example, with j set equal to 4 and secondary pseudo-random number generator cycle lengths of 42,517,061, 43,477,631, 37,533,169 and 34,824,227, the 27 length of the cycle of combinations would equal 2.42 x 10³⁰ before the pattern of 28 combinations would begin to repeat. 29 30

Using secondary pseudo-random number generators for the transition matrices A and also 1 for the offset matrices B with composite cycle lengths of 2.47 x 10^{35} and 2.42 x 10^{30} 2 would yield a primary MVRM process pseudo-random number generator with a cycle 3 length of 5.96×10^{65} . 4 5 6 The modulus operators $m_{1,n} \dots m_{j,n}$ are determined by secondary pseudo-random number 7 generators or other processes. For instance, a simple list of 71 possible values for m₁ 8 could be compiled and the variation in the sequence of m_{1,n} as n goes from 1 to 71 would 9 consist of selecting the next entry from the list. As the list is exhausted, the selection 10 would return to the beginning of the list. Similar lists could be used for m₂ through m_i 11 with each variation being chosen from the sequences in the lists. The number of items in 12 the lists are ideally chosen to be relatively prime, that is, no count of items in any of the 13 lists would share a common factor with another. The length of the composite sequence 14 created by this combined sequence of lists would have a cycle length equal to the product 15 of the number of items in each list. Thus, with i set equal to 3 and list lengths of 71, 67 16 and 64, the length of the cycle of combinations would equal 304,448 before the pattern of 17 combinations would begin to repeat. Instead of lists, each m_{1.n} ... m_{i.n} could be 18 determined by a secondary pseudo-random number generator, advantageously with the 19 cycle length of each pseudo-random number generator distinct from the others including 20 those of the transition matrices A and of the offset matrices B. The secondary pseudo-21 random number generators could be of virtually any form including the classical LCG or 22 any of the variations mentioned above. With distinct, relatively prime cycle lengths, the 23 length of the composite sequence created by the combined sequence of secondary 24 pseudo-random number generators would have a cycle length equal to the product of the 25 separate cycle lengths. For example, with i set equal to 3 and secondary pseudo-random 26 number generator cycle lengths of 7,337, 6,479 and 9,503, the length of the cycle of combinations would equal 4.52 x 10¹¹ before the pattern of combinations would begin to 27 28 repeat. 29 30 Use of secondary pseudo-random number generators for the modulus operators with

composite cycle lengths of 4.52 x 10¹¹ in addition to secondary pseudo-random number

generators for the transition matrices A and for the offset matrices B with composite 1 cycle lengths of 2.47 x 10³⁵ and 2.42 x 10³⁰, respectively, could yield a primary MVRM 2 process pseudo-random number generator with a cycle length of 2.69 x 10⁷⁷. The cycle 3 length of pseudo-random number generators with integrated varying modulus operators is 4 5 difficult to evaluate since no theoretical basis for making such evaluation has yet been 6 developed. However, because the system is composed of several independent elements 7 each of which has quite long cycle lengths, the composite result could well be equivalent 8 to the product of those cycle lengths leaving a very long resulting cycle length. 9 10 In order to assure the very long cycle lengths, an alternative form of the multiple variable 11 recursive matrix (MVRM) pseudo-random number generator process of the invention could be used that has the form of $X_n = ((A_{1,n}X_{n-1} + ... + A_{k,n}X_{n-k} + B_{1,n} + ... + B_{i,n}) \mod$ 12 13 $m_{1,n}$) ... mod $m_{i,n}$ for the primary candidate output cycle component and the actual output values are generated through the multiple modulus operation $Z_n = (X_n \mod r_{1,n}) \dots \mod$ 14 rg,n, that is, the nth actual output value is generated by applying multiple varying modulus 15 operators to the nth value of the candidate output matrix. The initial modulus operators 16 m_{1,n} ... m_{i,n} for the candidate output matrix could be chosen to accommodate the word-17 18 length constraints of the computer system and should advantageously be large prime 19 numbers. 20 21 For either embodiment of the MVRM generator, the MVRM multiple modulus version or 22 the alternative form described in the preceding paragraph, the modulus operators should 23 ideally be chosen to be relatively prime to each other. The final modulus operator 24 determines the range of the actual output values, e.g., choosing 256 as the final operator 25 value creates a range of actual output values from 0 to 255. Other modulus operator 26 values, whether chosen from lists, by secondary pseudo-random number generators, or 27 by some other method, should fall into descending value order between the first operator 28 (which should be the largest) and the final operator (which should be the smallest). All 29 of the modulus operators should ideally be relatively prime to each other. Thus, if 256 30 were chosen as the final modulus operator, all of the other operators should be relatively prime odd numbers (to be relatively prime to 256 which is an even number). 31

```
1
 2
      In order to generate an equally and uniformly distributed set of actual output values,
 3
      certain results from each of the modulus operation steps would have to be discarded
 4
      according to the relationship of the modulus operators. For instance, if the value of m<sub>1,n</sub>
 5
      mod m<sub>2,n</sub> was equal to 117, then 117 of the possible intermediate results would need to be
 6
      discarded to assure the uniformity of the generated candidate or actual output
 7
      distribution. Either the first 117, the final 117, or some arbitrary range of 117 of the
 8
      possible intermediate output results could be discarded. To discard the first 117, the
      exclusion condition would be X_n < 117; to discard the final 117, the exclusion condition
 9
10
      would be X_n \ge m_{1,n} - 117. The discarding process would be similarly applied to each
11
      subsequent set of modulus operations, e.g., m_{2,n} \mod m_{3,n}, m_{3,n} \mod m_{4,n} \dots m_{i-1,n} \mod m_{4,n}
12
      m_{i,n}, where m_{i,n} is the final modulus operator.
13
14
      Another variation of the multiple modulus process would be to calculate X_n = ((A_{1,n}^x X_{n-1})^x)^{-1}
      + ... + A_{k,n}^{x} X_{n-k} + B_{1,n}^{x} + ... + B_{j,n}^{x} mod m_{1,n}^{x} ... mod m_{i,n}^{x} for the first primary
15
      candidate output cycle component and Y_n = ((A^y_{1,n}Y_{n-1} + ... + A^y_{k,n}Y_{n-k} + B^y_{1,n} + ... + A^y_{k,n}Y_{n-k})
16
      B^{\boldsymbol{y}}_{j,n}) \bmod m^{\boldsymbol{y}}_{1,n}) \ ... \ mod \ m^{\boldsymbol{y}}_{i,n} \ for \ the \ second \ primary \ candidate \ output \ cycle \ component
17
18
      and the actual output values would be generated through multiple modulus operations
19
       applied to the sum of X_n and Y_n as Z_n = ((X_n + Y_n) \mod m^z_{1,n}) \dots \mod m^z_{1,n}.
20
21
       The process of the MVRM pseudo-random number generator could also be specified to
22
      assure that each candidate output value matrix of the form of X_n = ((A_{1,n}X_{n-1} + ... +
23
      A_{k,n}X_{n-k}+B_{1,n}+...+B_{j,n}) mod m_{1,n}) ... mod m_{i,n} was a non-invertible matrix. This
24
      characteristic could be introduced by appropriate modification of the final offset matrix
25
      component B_{j,n} to assure that the candidate output value matrix X_n was non-invertible.
26
       Were each of the candidate output value matrices non-invertible, then the multiplicative
27
      components created by the transition matrices (e. g., A<sub>1,n</sub>X<sub>n-1</sub>) would also be non-
28
      invertible regardless of the invertibility of the transition matrices A. However, the
29
      transition and offset matrices may themselves be non-invertible as contributing
```

components of the resulting candidate output value matrix.

30

1 All of the elements or only part of the elements of the candidate output value matrix X_n 2 could be used as the actual output values of the pseudo-random number generator. Any 3 remaining elements that are not used as pseudo-random number generator actual output 4 values could be stored in the storage register and still contribute to the determination of 5 subsequent candidate output value matrix results. 6 7 The MVRM pseudo-random number generator claimed herein incorporates several 8 components, each of which has distinct effects on the overall cycle length of the pseudo-9 random number generator process. In general, the use of long-cycle secondary pseudo-10 random number generators to determine the values of the transition matrices, offset 11 matrices, and modulus operators should contribute to MVRM pseudo-random number 12 generator cycles that are exceedingly long. The cycle length of pseudo-random number 13 generators of the MVRM type is difficult to evaluate since no theoretical basis for 14 making such evaluation has yet been developed. However, because the system is 15 composed of several independent elements each of which has quite long cycle lengths, 16 the composite result should be equivalent to the product of those cycle lengths leaving a 17 very long resulting combined cycle length. 18 19 An advantage of the present invention is that it presents a new unified framework for 20 incorporating a large number of options into the pseudo-random number generator 21 process creating nearly innumerable sets of alternative pseudo-random number 22 sequences. 23 24 25 BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS 26 27 FIG. 1 is a block diagram depicting the functional components of a MVRM pseudo-28 random number generator, according to the invention claimed herein. 29

FIG. 2 is a block diagram depicting a general implementation of functional components 1 2 of the MVRM pseudo-random number generator, according to the invention claimed 3 herein. 4 5 FIG. 3 is a block diagram depicting the functional components of a MVRM pseudo-6 random number generator with both primary and secondary variable modulus reductions, 7 according to the invention claimed herein. 8 9 FIG. 4 is a block diagram depicting a general implementation of functional components 10 of the MVRM pseudo-random number generator with both primary and secondary 11 variable modulus reductions, according to the invention claimed herein. 12 13 FIG. 5 is a block diagram depicting an implementation of the uniform variable modular 14 reduction functional component of the MVRM pseudo-random number generator 15 converting the intermediate output matrix X_{temp} to a uniformly distributed primary 16 candidate output value matrix X_n , according to the invention claimed herein. 17 18 FIG. 6 is a block diagram depicting an implementation of the uniform variable modular 19 reduction functional component of the MVRM pseudo-random number generator 20 converting the primary candidate output value matrix X_n to a uniformly distributed 21 secondary candidate output value matrix Z_n , according to the invention claimed herein. 22 23 FIG. 7 is a block diagram depicting a dual-sequence implementation of the MVRM 24 pseudo-random number generator of the claimed invention, with a single variable 25 modular reduction component. 26 27 FIG. 8 is a block diagram depicting an implementation of the MVRM pseudo-random 28 number generator of the claimed invention, including an invertibility evaluation module 29 for the creation of non-invertible candidate output value matrices.

30

DESCRIPTION OF THE PREFERRED EMBODIMENT

1

2

3 Referring to FIG. 1, a block diagram of the pseudo-random number generator system of 4 the claimed invention is shown which incorporates a transition and offset summation 5 process 11, a storage register 12 for initial and previously generated values of the primary 6 candidate output matrix sequence X_n 3, a variable modular reduction process 13, a list or 7 other process 14 for creating a value for transition matrix $A_{1,n}$, a list or other process 15 8 for creating values for all other transition matrices through A_{k,n}, a list or other process 16 9 for creating a value for offset matrix $B_{1,n}$, a list or other process 17 for creating values for 10 all other offset matrices through B_{i,n}, a list or other process 18 for creating a value for 11 modulus operator m_{1,n}, and a list or other process 19 for creating values for all other 12 modulus operators through $m_{i,n}$. The values of the transition matrices $A_{1,n}$ 24 through 13 $A_{k,n}$ 25 and of the offset matrices $B_{l,n}$ 26 through $B_{i,n}$ 27 along with the previously 14 created or initial values of the primary candidate output matrices X_n 3 from the storage 15 register 12 are provided to the transition and offset summation process 11 where they are 16 aggregated through matrix multiplication and addition operations to create an 17 intermediate value of the primary candidate output matrix shown as X_{temp} 2. The 18 intermediate value X_{temp} 2 is then sent to the variable modular reduction process 13 where 19 the modulus operators m_{1,n} 28 through m_{i,n} 29 are applied and resulting values evaluated 20 for retention or removal to generate the primary candidate output matrix sequence X_n 3. 21 The actual output values of the pseudo-random number generator X_{out} 1 are composed of 22 all or some of the elements of the primary candidate output matrix X_n 3. Any remaining 23 elements from the primary candidate output matrix X_n 3 that are not used as pseudorandom number generator actual output values Xout 1 could be stored in the storage 24 25 register 12 and still contribute to the determination of subsequent primary candidate 26 output matrix results. 27 In FIG. 2, one embodiment of the general pseudo-random number generator system of 28 29 the invention is shown. The system shown in FIG. 2 details the transition and offset 30 summation process 21 of the invention with the particular form $X_{temp} = A_{1,n}X_{n-1} + ... +$ $A_{k,n}X_{n-k} + B_{1,n} + ... + B_{j,n}$ using the transition matrices $A_{1,n}$ 24 through $A_{k,n}$ 25, the offset 31

matrices B_{1,n} 26 through B_{i,n} 27, and the previously created or initial values of the 1 primary candidate output matrices X_{n-1} through X_{n-k} from the storage register 12. The 2 intermediate value X_{temp} 2 is then sent to the variable modular reduction process 23 with 3 the form $X_n = ((X_{temp}) \mod m_{1,n}) \dots \mod m_{i,n}$ where the modulus operators $m_{1,n}$ through 4 min are applied and resulting values evaluated for retention or removal to generate the 5 primary candidate output matrix sequence X_n 3. The retention/removal component of the 6 variable modular reduction process when used to create uniformly distributed values is 7 8 shown in more detail in FIG. 5. 9 The actual output values X_{out} 1 of the pseudo-random number generator are composed of 10 all or some of the elements of the primary candidate output matrix X_n 3. Any remaining 11 elements from the primary candidate output matrix X_n 3 that are not used as pseudo-12 random number generator actual output values X_{out} 1 could be stored in the storage 13 register 12 and still contribute to the determination of subsequent primary candidate 14 output matrix results. The values for the transition matrices $A_{1,n}$ 24 through $A_{k,n}$ 25 are 15 16 created by secondary pseudo-random number generators, are taken from pre-determined 17 lists, or are created by other processes before being sent to the transition and offset 18 summation process 21. The values for the offset matrices $B_{1,n}$ 26 through $B_{i,n}$ 27 are 19 created by secondary pseudo-random number generators, are taken from pre-determined 20 lists, or are created by other processes before being sent to the transition and offset 21 summation process 21. The values for the modulus operators $m_{1,n}$ 28 through $m_{i,n}$ 29 are 22 created by secondary pseudo-random number generators, are taken from pre-determined 23 lists, or are created by other processes before being sent to the variable modular reduction 24 process 23. 25 In FIG. 3, an alternative embodiment of an implementation of the general pseudo-random 26 number generator system of the invention is shown. The system shown in FIG. 3 27 28 includes both primary variable modular reduction 31 and secondary variable modular 29 reduction 32 components. As in the implementation shown in FIG.1, the process incorporates a transition and offset summation process 11; a storage register 12 for initial 30

()

31

and previously generated values of the primary candidate output matrix sequence X_n 3; a

```
1
      primary variable modular reduction process 31; lists or other processes for creating
 2
      transition matrices A_{l,n} 14 through A_{k,n} 15; lists or other processes for creating offset
      matrices B<sub>1,n</sub> 16 through B<sub>j,n</sub> 17; and lists or other processes for creating modulus
 3
 4
      operators m_{1,n} 18 through m_{i,n} 19. The transition and offset summation process 11 creates
 5
      an intermediate value of the primary candidate output matrix X_{temp} 2. The intermediate
 6
      value X_{temp} 2 is then sent to the primary variable modular reduction process 31 where the
 7
      modulus operators m<sub>1,n</sub> 18 through m<sub>i,n</sub> 19 are applied and resulting values evaluated for
 8
      retention or removal to generate the primary candidate output matrix sequence X_n 3. The
 9
      primary candidate output matrix sequence X<sub>n</sub> 3 is then sent to the secondary variable
10
      modular reduction process 32 where the modulus operators r_{1,n} 38 through r_{g,n} 39 are
      applied and resulting values evaluated for retention or removal to generate the secondary
11
12
      candidate output matrix sequence Z_n 33. The actual output values of the pseudo-random
13
      number generator X<sub>out</sub> 1 are composed of all or some of the elements of the secondary
14
      candidate output matrix Z_n 33. The primary variable modular reduction process 31 may
15
      be implemented as a uniform variable modular reduction functional component as shown
      in FIG. 5 converting the intermediate output matrix X<sub>temp</sub> 2 to a uniformly distributed
16
      primary candidate output value matrix X<sub>n</sub> 3. Similarly, the secondary variable modular
17
18
      reduction process 32 may be implemented as a uniform variable modular reduction
19
      functional component as shown in FIG. 6 converting the primary candidate output value
      matrix X_n 3 to a uniformly distributed secondary candidate output value matrix Z_n 33.
20
21
22
      In FIG. 4, one embodiment of the alternative implementation of FIG 3. is shown. As in
23
      the embodiment of FIG 2., the transition and offset summation process 21 of the
24
      invention takes the form X_{\text{temp}} = A_{1,n}X_{n-1} + ... + A_{k,n}X_{n-k} + B_{1,n} + ... + B_{j,n} using the
25
      transition matrices A_{1,n} 24 through A_{k,n} 25, the offset matrices B_{1,n} 26 through B_{j,n} 27, and
26
      the previously created or initial values of the primary candidate output matrices X_{n-1}
27
      through X_{n-k} from the storage register 12. The intermediate value X_{temp} 2 is then sent to
28
      the primary variable modular reduction component 41 with the form X_n = ((X_{temp}) \text{ mod})
29
      m_{1,n}) ... mod m_{i,n} to generate the candidate output matrix X_n 3. Resulting values of the
30
      candidate output matrix X<sub>n</sub> 3 are evaluated for retention or removal prior to storage in the
31
      storage register 12 to generate subsequent iterations of the primary candidate output
```

matrix 3. The primary candidate output matrix X_n 3 also is sent to the secondary variable 1 2 modular reduction process 42 with the form $Z_n = ((X_n) \mod r_{1,n}) \dots \mod r_{g,n}$ where the modulus operators r_{1,n} 48 through r_{g,n} 49 are applied and resulting values evaluated for 3 retention or removal to generate the secondary candidate output matrix Z_n 33. The actual 4 output values of the pseudo-random number generator $X_{out} 1$ are composed of all or some 5 of the elements of the secondary candidate output matrix Z_n 33. Any remaining elements 6 7 from the secondary candidate output matrix Z_n 33 that are not used as pseudo-random number generator actual output values X_{out} 1 are discarded. As in the embodiment of 8 9 FIG 2., the values for the transition matrices $A_{1,n}$ 24 through $A_{k,n}$ 25 are created by 10 secondary pseudo-random number generators, are taken from pre-determined lists, or are 11 created by other processes before being sent to the transition and offset summation 12 process 21. The values for the offset matrices B_{1,n} 26 through B_{i,n} 27 are created by 13 secondary pseudo-random number generators, are taken from pre-determined lists, or are 14 created by other processes before being sent to the transition and offset summation 15 process 21. The values for the modulus operators $m_{l,n}$ 28 through $m_{l,n}$ 29 are created by 16 secondary pseudo-random number generators, are taken from pre-determined lists, or are 17 created by other processes before being sent to the primary variable modular reduction 18 process 41. The values for the modulus operators $r_{1,n}$ 48 through $r_{g,n}$ 49 are created by 19 secondary pseudo-random number generators, are taken from pre-determined lists, or are 20 created by other processes before being sent to the secondary variable modular reduction 21 process 42. 22 23 In FIG. 5, the retention and discarding procedures of the primary uniform variable 24 modular reduction process are shown in detail. The intermediate value X_{temp} 2 is 25 provided to the primary uniform variable modular reduction process 55. Each successive 26 pair of modulus operators starting with $m_{1,n}$ 56 and $m_{2,n}$ 57 are used in the uniform 27 variable modular processor 52 in the form $X_{temp2} = ((X_{temp}) \mod m_{1,n}) \mod m_{2,n}$. The 28 uniformity of the distribution of the possible values of X_{temp2} over the range of 0 to $(m_{2,n}$ -29 1) is assured by discarding a certain number of candidate output values 53 from the 30 process. The number of values to be discarded is determined as m_{1,n} mod m_{2,n} which would be a number greater than 0 if the modulus operators $m_{1,n}$ 56 and $m_{2,n}$ 57 were 31

chosen to be relatively prime. The number of values to be discarded can be realized by 1 2 discarding the first $m_{1,n}$ mod $m_{2,n}$ elements of X_{temp} or by discarding the last $m_{1,n}$ mod $m_{2,n}$ 3 elements of X_{temp}. The process is successively repeated by providing each intermediate value to the primary uniform variable modular processor 52 for each successive pair of 4 modulus operators. For example, the next successive pair of modulus operators (m_{2,n} and 5 $m_{3,n}$) would be used in the uniform variable modular processor 52 in the form X_{temp3} = 6 7 $((X_{temp2}) \mod m_{2,n}) \mod m_{3,n}$. However, since X_{temp2} was already created with the 8 operation of mod $m_{2,n}$, the repetition of that step is unnecessary and simplifies to X_{temp3} = 9 (X_{temp2}) mod $m_{3,n}$. As before, the uniformity of the distribution of the possible values of 10 X_{temp3} over the range of 0 to $(m_{3,n}-1)$ is assured by discarding the number of values determined as m_{2,n} mod m_{3,n}. The process is successively repeated by providing each 11 12 intermediate value to the uniform variable modular processor 52 for each successive pair of modulus operators until the final set of $m_{i-1,n}$ 58 and $m_{i,n}$ 59 are used. In the final step, 13 the uniform variable modular processor 52 has the form $X_{\text{tempi-1}} = ((X_{\text{tempi-1}}) \mod m_{i-1,n})$ 14 mod $m_{i,n}$ which again simplifies to $X_{tempi} = (X_{tempi-1})$ mod $m_{i,n}$. The uniformity of the 15 distribution of the possible values of X_{tempi} over the range of 0 to (m_{i,n}-1) is assured by 16 discarding a certain number of primary candidate output values 53 from the process. The 17 18 number of values to be discarded is determined as m_{i-1,n} mod m_{i,n} which is greater than 0 19 since $m_{i-1,n}$ 58 and $m_{i,n}$ 59 are relatively prime. The appropriate number of values to be 20 discarded can be realized by discarding the first m_{i-1,n} mod m_{i,n} elements of X_{tempi-1} or by 21 discarding the last $m_{i-1,n}$ mod $m_{i,n}$ elements of $X_{tempi-1}$. The values of X_{tempi} in the final 22 step are sent to the primary candidate output matrix X_n 50 as the results of the primary 23 uniform variable modular reduction process 55. 24 In FIG. 6, the retention and discarding procedures of the secondary uniform variable 25 modular reduction process are shown in detail. The primary candidate output matrix X_n 3 26 27 is provided to the secondary uniform variable modular reduction process 65. Each 28 successive pair of modulus operators starting with $r_{1,n}$ 66 and $r_{2,n}$ 67 are used in the 29 uniform variable modular processor 62 in the form $X_{\text{secondary2}} = ((X_n) \mod r_{1,n}) \mod r_{2,n}$. 30 The uniformity of the distribution of the possible values of X_{secondary2} over the range of 0 to (r_{2,n}-1) is assured by discarding a certain number of candidate output values 63 from 31

```
the process. The number of values to be discarded is determined as r_{1,n} mod r_{2,n} which
 1
 2
       should be a number greater than 0 since r_{1,n} 66 and r_{2,n} 67 are relatively prime. The
 3
       number of values to be discarded can be realized by discarding the first r<sub>1,n</sub> mod r<sub>2,n</sub>
 4
       elements of X_{\text{secondary}} or by discarding the last r_{1,n} mod r_{2,n} elements of X_{\text{secondary}}. The
 5
       process is successively repeated by providing each intermediate value to the secondary
 6
       uniform variable modular processor 62 for each successive pair of modulus operators.
 7
       For example, the next successive pair of modulus operators (r<sub>2,n</sub> and r<sub>3,n</sub>) would be used in
 8
       the uniform variable modular processor 62 in the form X_{\text{secondary2}} = ((X_{\text{secondary2}}) \mod r_{2,n})
 9
       mod r_{3,n}. However, since X_{\text{secondary2}} was already created with the operation of mod r_{2,n},
10
       the repetition of that step is unnecessary and simplifies to X_{\text{secondary}3} = (X_{\text{secondary}2}) \mod
11
       r_{3,n}. As before, the uniformity of the distribution of the possible values of X_{\text{secondary}3} over
      the range of 0 to (r<sub>3,n</sub>-1) is assured by discarding the number of values determined as r<sub>2,n</sub>
12
13
       mod r<sub>3,n</sub>. The process is successively repeated by providing each intermediate value to
14
       the uniform variable modular processor 62 for each successive pair of modulus operators
15
       until the final set of r_{g-1,n} 68 and r_{g,n} 69 are used. In the final step, the uniform variable
16
       modular processor 62 has the form X_{\text{secondaryg}} = ((X_{\text{secondaryg-1}}) \mod r_{g-1,n}) \mod r_{g,n} which
17
       again simplifies to X_{\text{secondaryg}} = (X_{\text{secondaryg-1}}) \mod r_{g,n}. The uniformity of the distribution
      of the possible values of X_{secondaryg} over the range of 0 to (r_{g,n}-1) is assured by discarding
18
19
       a certain number of secondary candidate output values 63 from the process. The number
20
       of values to be discarded is determined as r_{g-1,n} \mod r_{g,n} which is greater than 0 since
21
       r_{g-1,n} 68 and r_{g,n} 69 are relatively prime. The appropriate number of values to be
22
       discarded can be realized by discarding the first r_{g-1,n} mod r_{g,n} elements of X_{secondaryg-1} or
23
       by discarding the last r_{g-1,n} mod r_{g,n} elements of X_{secondaryg-1}. The values of X_{secondaryg} in
24
       the final step are sent to the secondary candidate output matrix Z_n 60 as the results of the
25
       secondary uniform variable modular reduction process 65. The actual output values of
26
       the pseudo-random number generator X<sub>out</sub> 1 are composed of all or some of the elements
27
       of the secondary candidate output matrix Z_n 60.
28
29
       In FIG. 7, another alternative implementation of the general pseudo-random number
30
       generator system of the invention that includes two (or more) independent MVRM
31
       modules 71, 72 and a separate uniform variable modular reduction component 76 is
```

- shown in detail. Each of the independent MVRM modules 71, 72 operates as in the
- 2 general version with the transition and offset summation process 11, the previously
- 3 created values from the storage register 12, and the variable modular reduction process 13
- 4 creating the candidate output values X_n 73 or Y_n 74. The variable modular reduction
- 5 process 76 accepts the independent candidate output values X_n 73 and Y_n 74 along with
- the variable modulus operators $m_{1,n}^{z}$ 77 through $m_{j,n}^{z}$ 78 to create the candidate output
- 7 matrix Z_n 70 of the alternative implementation of the pseudo-random number generator.
- 8 The specific actual output values X_{out} 1 are composed of all or some of the elements of
- 9 the variable modulus candidate output matrix Z_n 70. The values for the modulus
- operators m^z_{1,n} 77 through m^z_{j,n} 78 are created by secondary pseudo-random number
- generators, are taken from pre-determined lists, or are created by other processes before
- being sent to the variable modular reduction process 76.

- 14 FIG. 8 portrays a particular embodiment of the general pseudo-random number generator
- 15 system of the invention that includes a component assuring that the candidate output
- matrix X_n 80 cannot be inverted. FIG. 8 shows essentially the same system that was
- shown in FIG. 2 including details of the transition and offset summation process 21 with
- 18 the form $X_{\text{temp}} = A_{1,n}X_{n-1} + ... + A_{k,n}X_{n-k} + B_{1,n} + ... + B_{j,n}$ using the transition matrices
- 19 $A_{1,n}$ 24 through $A_{k,n}$ 25, the offset matrices $B_{1,n}$ 26 through $B_{i,n}$ 82, and the previously
- created or initial values of the primary candidate output matrices X_{n-1} through X_{n-k} from
- 21 the storage register 12. However, unlike the system previously shown in FIG. 2, the non-
- 22 invertible version of FIG. 8 includes an invertibility evaluation module 81 that evaluates
- 23 the final offset matrix B_{i,n} 82 and makes adjustments based on the characteristics of X_{temp}
- 24 2 not including $B_{j,n}$ 82 to assure that the result of the transition and offset summation
- 25 process 21 yields a matrix that cannot be inverted. That non-invertible intermediate value
- of X_{temp} 2 is then sent to the variable modular reduction process 23 with the form X_n =
- 27 $((X_{temp}) \mod m_{1,n})$... $\mod m_{j,n}$ where the modulus operators $m_{1,n}$ 28 through $m_{j,n}$ 29 are
- applied to generate the primary non-invertible candidate output matrix sequence X_n 80.
- 29 For uniform variable modular reduction the retention/removal component of the process
- was shown in more detail in FIG. 5. The actual output values of the pseudo-random
- number generator X_{out} 1 are composed of all or some of the elements of the primary non-

invertible candidate output matrix X_n 80. Any remaining elements from the primary non-1 2 invertible candidate output matrix X_n 80 that are not used as pseudo-random number generator actual output values X_{out} 1 could be stored in the storage register 12 and still 3 4 contribute to the determination of subsequent primary non-invertible candidate output matrix results. The values for the transition matrices $A_{1,n}$ 24 through $A_{k,n}$ 25 are created 5 6 by secondary pseudo-random number generators, are taken from pre-determined lists, or 7 are created by other processes before being sent to the transition and offset summation 8 process 21. The values for the offset matrices $B_{1,n}$ 26 through $B_{i,n}$ 82 are created by 9 secondary pseudo-random number generators, are taken from pre-determined lists, or are 10 created by other processes before being sent to the transition and offset summation 11 process 21 except that the final offset value of B_{i,n} 82 is evaluated and adjusted by the 12 invertibility evaluation module 81 to assure that the intermediate output matrix X_{temp} 2 13 cannot be inverted. The values for the modulus operators m_{1,n} 28 through m_{i,n} 29 are 14 created by secondary pseudo-random number generators, are taken from pre-determined 15 lists, or are created by other processes before being sent to the uniform variable modular 16 reduction process 23. 17 18 Although the present invention has been described in terms of the presently preferred 19 embodiment, it is to be understood that such disclosure is purely illustrative and is not to be interpreted as limiting. Consequently, without departing from the spirit and scope of 20 21 the invention, various alterations, modifications, and/or alternative applications of the 22 invention will, no doubt, be suggested to those skilled in the art after having read the preceding disclosure. Accordingly, it is intended that the following claims be interpreted 23 24 as encompassing all alterations, modifications, or alternative applications as fall within 25 the true spirit and scope of the invention. 26 27 28